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Abstract
The present paper deals with some problems on the variation of the eigenvalues and their application to study the nature of the spectrum associated with the matrix operator

\[ M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -D(p_0 D) + p_1 & r_1 \\ r_1 & -D(q_0 D) + q_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D \equiv \frac{d}{dx} \]

with prescribed boundary conditions. By employing, among others, some of the ideas and techniques of E. C. Titchmarsh and those of Chakraborty and Sen Gupta, it is found that under certain conditions, satisfied by the coefficients of the system (A), the spectrum of the system is discrete.
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1. Introduction
Chakraborty and Sen Gupta employed the Titchmarsh method involving the variation of the eigenvalues to obtain interalia a criterion for the discreteness of the spectrum associated with the differential system

\[ M_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -D^2 + p & q \\ q & -D^2 + r \end{pmatrix}, \quad D \equiv \frac{d}{dx}. \] (1.1)

In a recent paper Sen Gupta generalises certain results of the above paper, for a slightly more generalised system

\[ M_1 [f] = -D^2 f + Pf = \lambda Sf, \] (1.2)

where \( P = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ q & r \end{pmatrix} \) and \( S = \begin{pmatrix} s & h \\ h & t \end{pmatrix} \).
Our object in the present paper is to obtain certain results involving the criteria for the discreteness of the spectra for the general system

\[ M\phi = \lambda F\phi, \]

\[ M = \begin{pmatrix} -D(p_0 D) + p_1 & r_1 \\ r_1 & -D(q_0 D) + q_1 \end{pmatrix} \]

where (i) \( p_0, q_0 \geq 1, p_1, q_1, r_1 \in C^1(I) \), where \( I : a \leq x < b \) \((a = 0, b = \infty \) being allowed\) and \( p_1, q_1, r_1 \) are absolutely continuous over any compact subinterval of \( I \).

(ii) \( F = (F_{ij}(x)) \) is a symmetric \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix of real valued continuous functions, with \( \det F \geq (\max (p_0, q_0))^2 \) on \( I \). Thus, \( \det F \geq 1, \) on \( I \).

(iii) \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \), the set of all complex numbers and

(iv) \( \phi = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{D} \),

the set of all

\[ f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} \in C^1(I), \]

such that \( f^* F f, (Ff)^* F(Ff), (Mf)^* F(Mf), (Mf)^* F^{-1}(Mf) \in \mathcal{H} \), the basic Hilbert space \( L(a, b) \);

\[ f^* = \begin{pmatrix} f_1^* \\ f_2^* \end{pmatrix}^* = (f_1, f_2), \]

the transpose of \( f \).

It is well known that (1.3) along with prescribed boundary conditions at the end points gives rise to an eigenvalue problem, both in the finite as well as in the singular case.

The boundary conditions to be considered for our problem are for the finite interval:

\[ u(a) = v(a) = 0 \]
\[ u(\beta) = v(\beta) = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

or

\[ u'(a) = v'(a) = 0 \]
\[ u'(\beta) = v'(\beta) = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (1.5)

where, \( a < a < \beta < b \); \( \phi = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \), a solution of (1.3).

We thus encounter the Dirichlet or the Neumann problems for the interval \((a, \beta)\) according as the boundary conditions are given by (1.4) or (1.5).

When the interval is \([0, \infty)\), the corresponding Dirichlet and the Neumann problems are (1.3) with \( u(0) = v(0) = 0 \) and (1.3) with \( u'(0) = v'(0) = 0 \) respectively.
The Dirichlet integral

The Dirichlet integral associated with the system (1.3) is defined by

\[ D_i (g, h, P) = \int_a^b (G, H, P) \, dt, \quad I = (a, b), \]

where

\[ P = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & r_1 \\ r_1 & q_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 \\ g_1' & g_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g & g' \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = (g_1, g_2), \]

\[ H = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & h_2 \\ h_1' & h_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h & h' \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = (h_1, h_2), \]

\[ (G, H, P) = p_0 g_1' h_1' + g_0 g_2' h_2' + p_1 g_1 h_1 + q_1 g_2 h_2 + r_1 g_1 h_2 + r_2 g_2 h_1; \]

with corresponding definitions for \( D_a (g, h, P) \) for \( I = [0, b] \) and \( D (g, h, P) \) for \( I = [0, \infty) \) (S: p Chakraborty and S: n Gupta).

If \( p_1 > 0, q_1 > 0 \) and \( \det P \geq 0 \), \( D_a (g, h, P) \) is always positive.

If \( \lambda_n = \lambda_n (b) \), and \( \psi_n (x) = \psi_n (b, x, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \) be the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors, normalised in the sense

\[ (\psi_n (x))_{b, b} = \int_0^b \psi_n^T F \psi_n \, dt = 1, \]

and also if

\[ C_n = \int_0^b \psi_n^T F f \, dt = \int_0^b f \psi_n^T \, dt \]

be the Fourier coefficient of \( f \in C^1 (I) \), then if \( p_0, q_0 > 0, p_1 > cF_1, \) \( \det (P - cF) \geq 0 \) \( \forall [1, b] \), the eigenvalues for both the Dirichlet and the Neumann problems, are greater than or equal to \( c \). Other results concerning \( D_a (f, g) \) as obtained in § 3 of Chakraborty and S: n Gupta, also follow for the present operator.

Let \( p_0, q_0 \geq 1 \) satisfy \( \frac{p_0'}{p_0}, \frac{q_0'}{q_0} = 0 \) \( (l) \) and \( p_0, q_0 = 0 (x^\alpha) \), for large \( x, 0 < c < 1, \)

or alternatively, \( p_0 \psi_n, p_0' \psi_n, q_0 \psi_n, q_0' \psi_n \in L_2 [0, \infty] \). Then for the singular case \( [0, \infty), D (\psi_n, \psi_n) = \lambda_n \delta_m, n, \delta_m, \) the Kronecker delta.

We say that \( p_1, q_1, r_1, F \in M, \) if the following additional conditions are satisfied:

(i) \( |p_1|, |q_1|, |r_1| \leq Q (x), \quad Q (x) \geq \delta > 0 \)

(ii) \( \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{Q' (x)}{Q (x)} < \infty, \quad 0 < c \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad Q' (x) \) continuous;

(iii) \( \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{F'_{ij}}{F_{ij}} < \infty, \quad i, j = 1, 2. \)

(iv) \( t (x) \leq F_{ij} \leq S (x), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad \frac{s (x)}{t (x)} \) tends to a finite nonzero limit as \( x \) tends to infinity.
(v) \( Q(x)/S(x) \) tends to infinity as \( x \) tends to infinity.

(vi) \( \int Q(t)^{-1/2} \, dt \) is divergent.

If \( f^T Ff, f^T Ff \in L[0, \infty) \) (with \( f(0) = 0 \) for the Dirichlet problem and \( f'(0) = 0 \) for the Neumann problem), then

\[
D(f, \psi) = \lambda_n C_n
\]

and if, moreover, \( p_i \geq 0 \), \( \det P \geq 0 \),

\[
D(f) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n C_n^2.
\]

It may be noted that the condition \( f^T Ff \in L[0, \infty) \) as required for the derivations of (2.1) and (2.2) may be dispensed with when \( p_1, q_1, r_1, F \in \mathcal{M} \).

3. Variation of the eigenvalues

As in Chakraborty and Sen Gupta\(^4\), we say that a sequence of symmetric matrices

\[
P_0 = \{P_1\}, \quad P_j = \begin{pmatrix} p_{u} & r_{u} \\ r_{u} & q_{u} \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

defined over \( I \) is pseudo-monotonic over \( I \), if and only if for \( j < k, j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, p_{u} \leq p_{u}, q_{u} \leq q_{u}, p_{u} > 0, \det P_j \geq 0, \) and \( \det (P_j - P_k) \geq 0 \), for all \( x \in I \).

In particular, the matrix \( P = \begin{pmatrix} p & r \\ r & q \end{pmatrix} \) is pseudo-monotonic over \([0, \infty)\), if for \( j > k, j, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, p_{u} \geq p_{u}, q_{u} \geq q_{u}, \det (P_j - P_k) \geq 0 \), where \( p_{u}, q_{u}, p_{u} \) are \( p, q, P \) at \( x \in [0, \infty) \).

We denote the class of pseudo-monotonic sequences of matrices \( P_0 \) over \( I \), by \( PM(I) \).

Then by utilising the Minkowski inequality for two positive definite hermitian Matrices \( A, B \) of order \( n \), viz.,

\[
|A|^{1/n} + |B|^{1/n} \leq |A + B|^{1/n} \quad (\text{see Mirski}^3, \ p. 419) \ldots \ (A_0)
\]

it easily follows that

(i) \( \alpha P_0 + \beta Q_0 \in PM(I) \), where \( \alpha, \beta \) are positive scalars and \( P_0, Q_0 \in PM(I) \).

Also if \( \{P_j\}, \{Q_j\} \in PM(I) \)

(ii) \( \det (P_j Q_j - P_k Q_k) \geq 0 \), \( j, k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \).

The product sequence of the two sequences \( \{P_j\}, \{Q_j\} \), is denoted by \( \{[P_j], \{Q_j]\} \). We note that the product sequences of two pseudo-monotonic sequences \( \{P_j\}, \{Q_j\} \), are not necessarily pseudo-monotonic.
Put \( F(r, x) = \begin{pmatrix} F_{11}(r, x) & F_{12}(r, x) \\ F_{21}(r, x) & F_{22}(r, x) \end{pmatrix} \),
and \( \gamma (r, x) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11}(r, x) & \gamma_{12}(r, x) \\ \gamma_{21}(r, x) & \gamma_{22}(r, x) \end{pmatrix} \), where \( x \in I \).

Then the following theorems hold. It is assumed that \( p_0 q_0 \geq 1 \), in all the following theorems of this article. Further, when we consider the interval \([0, \infty)\), we assume that \( p_1, q_1, r_1, F \in \mathcal{M} \).

Theorem 3.1: If \( \{P_i\} \in PM(I) \), \( I : 0 \leq x < b, b = \infty \) allowed, then \( \lambda_n \leq \mu_n \), \( n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), where \( \lambda_n \) and \( \mu_n \) are the eigenvalues for the Dirichlet (Neumann) problems, with matrices \( P_i \) and \( P_k \) respectively for \( P, j < k, j, k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \).

Theorem 3.2: Let \( p_i > 0, \det P \geq 0, \{F(r, x)\} \in PM(I) \), where \( I : 0 \leq x < b, b = \infty \) allowed. Then \( \lambda_n \geq \mu_n \), \( n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), when \( \lambda_n \) and \( \mu_n \) are respectively the eigenvalues for the Dirichlet (Neumann) problems, with \( F(x) = F(r, x) \) and \( F(s, x) \) respectively, with \( r < s, r, s = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Let \( I_1 \subset I : 0 \leq x < b, b = \infty \) allowed and let

\[
P \equiv \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & r_1 \\ r_1 & q_1 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \text{ on } I_1
\]

\[
= \gamma (x) F(x) \text{ on } I - I_1,
\]

where \( \gamma (x) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \)

is a real valued, positive definite, symmetric and absolutely continuous matrix defined on \( I - I_1 \), \( \gamma^T \mathcal{D} \leq \mathcal{D} \). Then

Theorem 3.3: If \( \mu_n \geq k \), where \( k \) is a positive constant and the product sequence \( \{(F(r, x))\}, \{(KE - \gamma (r, x))\} \in PM(I - I_1) \), then \( \lambda_n \geq \mu_n \geq k \), \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), where \( \lambda_n \) and \( \mu_n \) are the eigenvalues for the Dirichlet (Neumann) problems, with

\[
F(x) = F(r, x), P(x) = \gamma F (r, x) F(r, x)
\]

and

\[
F(x) = F(s, x), P(x) = \gamma (s, x) F(s, x), r < s, r, s = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]

respectively; \( E \) is the \( 2 \times 2 \) unit matrix.

Let the intervals \([0, b]\) and \([0, B], B > b \) be represented respectively by \( I_b \) and \( I_B \) and let \( I_1 \) be an interval, included in \( I_b \). Then we have

Theorem 3.4: If \( p_i > 0 \), and \( \det P \geq 0 \), and if \( \lambda_n, \mu_n \) denote the nth eigenvalues for the Dirichlet (Neumann) problem of the intervals \( I_b \) and \( I_B \) respectively, then \( \lambda_n \geq \mu_n \), \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).
Finally, we have

\textbf{Theorem 3.5:} If \( \mu_n \geq k \), where \( k \) is a positive constant and the product sequence \([\{kE - \gamma (r, x)\}, \{F(r, x)\}] \in PM(I_B - I_1)\), then \( \lambda_n \geq \mu_n \geq k, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), where \( \lambda_n \) and \( u_n \) are the eigenvalues for the problem of the intervals \( I_n \), with

\[ F(x) = F(r, x), \quad P(x) = \gamma (r, x) F(r, x) \quad \text{and} \quad I_B \quad \text{with} \quad F(x) = F(s, x), \]

\[ P(x) = \gamma (s, x) F(s, x), \quad r < s, \quad r, s = 1, 2, 3, \ldots , \quad B > b. \]

\textbf{E.} \( F, \gamma, I_B, I_B \) having the same meanings as before.

The result follows by choosing

\[ f(x) = \psi_n(x), \quad 0 \leq x < b \]

\[ = 0, \quad b \leq x \leq B, \]

so that

\[ D_0(f, P(r, x)) - D_B(f, P(s, x)) \]

\[ = \int_{t_1-t_2} \gamma (r, x) F(r, x) - \gamma (s, x) F(s, x) \]

\[ f \, dx, \]

and then adopting the familiar Titchmarsh analysis (pp. 89-90).

\section{Discreteness of the spectra}

Let \( p_0, q_0 \in C^2(I), I : a < x < b \), satisfy additional conditions

\[ \begin{align*}
    p_o''(x) - 4 p_o(x) p_o''(x) &= A p_o(x) \quad \text{(4.1)} \\
    q_o''(x) - 4 q_o(x) q_o''(x) &= B q_o(x)
\end{align*} \]

where \( A, B \geq 0. \)

Let \( 0 < a < x < b \), and

\[ u_1(x) = u_1(x - a) = 0 \]

\[ v_1(x) = v_1(x - a) = \frac{1}{b_1} (q_o(a))^{3/4} (q_o(x))^{-1/4} \sin \{ b_1 (\psi(x) - \psi(a)) \}, \]

\[ \psi(x) = \int_a^x q_o(z)^{-1/2} \, dz, \]

and \( b_1 \), a positive constant, which depends on \( B \).

Then it easily follows that \( U_1 = \{u_1, v_1\} \) satisfies the system \( M_0 U_1 = 0 \), where

\[ M_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
    -D(p_0 D) - 1 & 0 \\
    0 & -D(q_0 D) - 1
\end{pmatrix}, \]
with initial conditions
\[ u_0 (a) = v_1 (a) = 0, \quad u_1' (a) = 0, \quad v_1' (a) = \{q_0 (a)\}^{1/2}. \]

Let \( \tilde{H} (x, y) \) be the matrix,
\[
\tilde{H} (x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} H_{11} (x, y) & H_{12} (x, y) \\ H_{21} (x, y) & H_{22} (x, y) \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
= \begin{pmatrix} p_0^{-1} (y) q_0^{-1/2} (y) v_1 (x - y) & u_1 (x - y) \\ u_1 (x - y) & q_0^{-1} (y) q_0^{-1/2} (y) v_1 (x - y) \end{pmatrix}
\]

And \( H (x, y) = \tilde{H} (x, y), \) for \( a < y < x \)
\[
\text{otherwise. (4.2)}
\]

Let \( G (X, x, y, \lambda) \) be the Green's matrix for the interval \([0, X]\), with elements \( G_d (X, x, y, \lambda) \), which satisfy the discontinuity property
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_u (X, y + 0, y, \lambda) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_u (X, y - 0, y, \lambda)
\]
\[
= \begin{cases} p_0^{-1} (y) \delta_{ii}, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ q_0^{-1} (y) \delta_{ii}, & \text{if } i = 2 \end{cases} \]  
(see Bhagat\(^2\)).

Then it clearly follows that \( H (x, y) \), although not a Green's matrix, has the same discontinuity property (4.3), as the Green's matrix \( G (X, x, y, \lambda) \). Further, \( H (x, y) \) always exists in \( \delta = (a, x) \subset (0, X) \).

Let \( \Gamma (X, x, y, \lambda) = (\Gamma_u (X, x, y, \lambda) \)  
(4.4)

where
\[
\Gamma_{11} (X, x, y, \lambda) = G_1 (X, x, y, \lambda) - H_{12} (x, y)
\]
\[
\Gamma_{12} (X, x, y, \lambda) = G_1 (X, x, y, \lambda) - H_{11} (x, y)
\]
\[
\Gamma_{21} (X, x, y, \lambda) = G_2 (X, x, y, \lambda) - H_{22} (x, y)
\]
\[
\Gamma_{22} (X, x, y, \lambda) = G_2 (X, x, y, \lambda) - H_{21} (x, y)
\]
\[
i = 2, \quad j = 1, 2. \]  
(4.5)

Then
\[
(M - \lambda F) \Gamma_1 (X, x, y, \lambda) = - F (F^{-1} K_1 (x, y) - \lambda H_1 (x, y)) \]
(4.6)

where
\[
\Gamma_i (.) = \{\Gamma_{ii}, \Gamma_{ij}\}, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]
\[
K_1 (x, y) = \left( (p_1 (x) + 1) H_{12} (x, y) + r_1 (x) H_{11} (x, y) \right)
\]
\[
\text{and } H_1 (x, y) = (H_{12}, H_{11}). \]
From (4.6),
\[
\Gamma_{1}(X, x, y, \lambda) = \int_{0}^{X} \mathcal{G}(X, x, z, \lambda) \{K_{1}(z, y) - \lambda F(z) H_{1}(z, y)\} \, dz
\]  
(4.7)

Also, by Bhagat\(^{1}\), (p. 61)
\[
\int_{0}^{X} \Gamma_{1}^{\tau}(X, x, y, \lambda) F(x) \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1}(X, x, y, \lambda) \, dx \\
\leq v^{-2} \int_{0}^{X} \chi^{\tau}(z, y) F(z) \tilde{x}(z, y) \, dz
\]  
(4.8)

where \(\chi(x, y) = F^{-1}(x) K_{1}(x, y) - \lambda H_{1}(x, y), \lambda = \mu + iv, v \neq 0\).

Since \(\text{det} \, F(X) \geq 1\),
\[
\Gamma_{1}^{\tau}(\cdot) F\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1}(\cdot) \geq |\Gamma_{ii}(\cdot)|^{2}, \text{ and hence from }(4.8), \text{ after some tedious reductions},
\]
\[
\int_{0}^{X} |\Gamma_{11}(X, x, y, \lambda)|^{2} \, dx \leq v^{-2} K(y, \delta, |\lambda|)
\]  
(4.9)

where \(K(\cdot)\) denotes the constant depending on the arguments shown. Similar results hold for the other \(\Gamma_{ii}\).

From (4.5)
\[
\int_{0}^{X} \mathcal{G}_{11}(X, x, y, \lambda) |^{2} \, dx \leq (1 + v^{-2}) K(y, \delta, |\lambda|)
\]  
(4.10)

with similar results for the other \(\mathcal{G}_{ii}(X, x, y, \lambda)\). From results of type (4.7), by making use of the properties of \(H_{ii}(x, y)\), the Schwarz inequality, and the relations of type (4.10), it follows that
\[
|\Gamma_{ii}(X, x, y, \lambda)| \leq (v^{-2} + 1)^{1/2} K(x, y, \delta, |\lambda|)
\]  
(4.11)

where \(x, y\) lie in a fixed \(\delta_{0} \subseteq \delta\).

We now make use of the formula, easily verifiable by integration by parts, \(\text{viz.}\),
\[
(\xi - x)^{2} \phi(x) h(x)
\]
\[
= \int_{0}^{\xi} (\xi - y)^{2} (y - x) \left( \frac{d}{dy} \phi \frac{d}{dy} \right) h(y) \, dy - \int_{0}^{\xi} (\xi - y)^{2} \phi(y) h(y) \, dy - 2 \int_{0}^{\xi} (\xi - y) (\xi - 3y + 2x) \phi'(y) h(y) \, dy
\]
\[
+ \int_{0}^{\xi} (2x + 4\xi - 6y) \phi(y) h(y) \, dy,
\]
and proceed in a manner, as indicated in Chakraborty, so as to derive that $G_{ij} (x, y, \lambda)$ tends uniformly to $G_{ij} (x, y, \lambda)$, as $X$ tends to infinity through a suitable sequence and $G (x, y, \lambda) = (G_{ij} (x, y, \lambda))$ is the Green's matrix in the singular case $[0, \infty)$ with the usual properties.

We now establish the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.1:** Let $p_i (x)/F_{1i} (x) > a$, be monotone increasing, $\det (P - aF) \geq 0$, for all $x \in I : 0 \leq x < \infty$, where $a$ is a positive constant and $p_0, q_0$ satisfy the conditions (i) $p_0 q_0 \geq 1$, (ii) $p_0, q_0 \in C (I)$ and (iii) the conditions (4.1). Also let the matrix $P$ be pseudo-monotonic over $I$. Then the spectrum of the given boundary value problem is discrete over $(a, \beta)$, where $\beta > \alpha$, is arbitrary.

Let the eigenvalues for the problem of the intervals $[0, X]$ and $[0, X']$, $X \leq X'$, be represented respectively by $\lambda_{1*}$ and $\lambda_{2*}$. Then from the given conditions

$\lambda_{1*}, \lambda_{2*} \geq \alpha > 0$, and that $\lambda_{1*} > \lambda_{2*}$ (Theorem 3.4).

Hence for sufficiently large $X$, the sequence $\{\lambda_{1*}\}, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$ of eigenvalues lying in $(\alpha, \beta)$ tend to $\{\lambda_j\} j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$ (not necessarily all different).

Let $\lambda_{1} < \lambda_{1*}$, the Green's matrix $G (x, x, y, \lambda) \lambda = \mu + iv$ for the interval $[0, X]$ for our problem, is regular except at the points $\lambda = \lambda_{1*}$, which are the simple poles of $G (x, x, y, \lambda)$.

Put $-\delta \leq v \leq \delta, |v| \leq 1$, and $\lambda_{1*} + 2\delta \leq \mu \leq \lambda_{1*} - 2\delta$. Then for given $x, y, x \neq y$, it follows from (4.11), that $|G_{ij} (x, x, y, \lambda)| \leq M |v|^{-1}, M$ constant.

The theorem now follows by arguments, similar to those of Titchmarsh (p. 149).

It is easily verifiable that the $\lambda_{1*}$ are actually the eigenvalues.

**Theorem 4.2:** Let $p_0, q_0$ satisfy the conditions of theorem 4.1 and let

$$\frac{p_i}{F_{1i}} \geq \frac{q_1}{F_{2i}}$$

where $r_i/F_{1i}$ is monotone increasing. Then the spectrum of our problem is discrete over $(0, \infty)$.

This is an immediate consequence of the theorem 4.1.

Let $P$ and $F$ be related by $P = \gamma F$, where $\gamma$ is defined as in §3. Then the following theorem giving the discreteness of the spectra holds.
Theorem 4.3: If, in addition to the conditions of theorem 4.1, \( f_{11}(x) > a \) be monotone increasing, \( \det(y - aE) \geq 0 \), for all \( x \in I : 0 \leq x < \infty \), and \( F_{ij} \) and \( f_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2 \) maintain the same sign in \([0, \infty)\), then the spectrum of our problem is discrete over \((a, \beta), \beta \) arbitrary, \( \beta > a > 0 \).

Finally, we note that Sen Gupta's theorem is only a special case of the general theorem 4.1 obtained above.
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